

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/734,376	12/11/2003	Satoshi Inoue	393032034420	9778	
25224 75	590 03/03/2006		EXAM	INER	
MORRISON & FOERSTER, LLP 555 WEST FIFTH STREET SUITE 3500			WARREN,	WARREN, DAVID S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90013-1024			2837		
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/03/200	DATE MAILED: 03/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
065 4-45 0	10/734,376	INOUE, SATOSHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David S. Warren	2837			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 25-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 25-27 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 28-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 December 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/13/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 28 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanemitsu et al. (2001/0027712 A1) in view of Niitsuma (6,191,351). Regarding independent claim 28, Kanemitsu discloses the use of preparing a key incorporated in a keyboard musical instrument, comprising a bar (2) formed with a straight through-hole (9) and a weight piece (14) having a straight tube portion (15) roughly equal in diameter to said straight through-hole and a core portion (16) disposed in said tube portion, wherein the weight piece is inserted into the through-hole (fig. 8). Kanemitsu does not show exerting force to radially expand both ends of the tube portion to thereby secure the weight piece to the bar. However, Kanemitsu does show the use of providing radially expanded end portions on a weight to secure the weight within the bar (see paragraph [0125]). Niitsuma clearly shows exerting force to radially expand the end portion of a weight (9, figs. 3A and 3B; note elements 10, 12, and 13, in fig. 3B). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Kanemitsu (as shown in figs. 5 and 6) with those of Niitsuma (as shown in figs. 3A and

Application/Control Number: 10/734,376 Page 3

Art Unit: 2837

3B). The motivation for making such a modification is that radially enlarged portions prevent transverse movement thereby enhancing securing of the weight to the bar. Further, one of ordinary skill would be motivated to combine the teachings to take advantage of the well-known art of riveting. Regarding claims 29 and 30, Kanemitsu states (paragraph [0124]) that the flanges 26b are "formed as protrusions *integrally* with both ends of the outer peripheral face" of the weight member. The Examiner interprets "integrally" to mean that the weight and protrusions were formed before insertion into

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 25 – 27 are allowed.

the bar and are "monolithic."

4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art does not disclose the use of a through-hole having a narrow portion and a wide portion diverging form both ends of the narrow portion, and wherein the end portions are plastically deformed.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 28 – 30 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. However, the Applicant's attention

Art Unit: 2837

is directed to col. 3 (5th paragraph) where riveted member 10 is also caulked. In other words, caulking does not obviate riveting.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David S. Warren whose telephone number is 571-272-2076. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:30 A.M. to 6:30 P.M..

Application/Control Number: 10/734,376 Page 5

Art Unit: 2837

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext 37. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

dsw

JEFFREY DONELS PRIMARY EXAMINER